

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_82355
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Adherence of Primary Health care Physicians to Hypertension Management Guidelines in Family Health Facilities, Tanta Gharbia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. please add more relevance background of the study aim (in the introduction) 2. In statistical analysis of data, please revise what statistical method the author used, not just the statistical program) 3. Table 1 and 2. column 3 (Range, mean + SD) and column 4 (Mean + SD/Number of questions) ==> what was the difference between these mean columns? 4. Table 3, there was categorical of Knowledge; please define this category in the method section 5. please revise Table 3, "relationship" to "correlation." The correlation was the term of the statistical analysis result. 6. Please add demographic characteristics of population in the table 7. Please use updated reference (suggest less than ten years) 8. Please state the registration number for ethical clearance for this study 9. Please add more conclusions for the study. This research was valuable but should be more elaborated. 10. Please add inclusion and exclusion criteria for subject population. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If available, please add validity and reliability of the questionnaire used in the study 2. Suggestion Tables 1 and 2 should be combined. 3. Please add limitations for the study 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indrayadi Gunardi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia