

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_82100
Title of the Manuscript:	Fluid Management Using Cardiometry in ARDS Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Clearly define all outcomes, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Results: Consider use of a flow diagram - report numbers of individuals confirmed eligible and included in the study. If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Discussion: Summarise key results with reference to study objectives in the first discussion paragraph. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias To guide yourself in writing: use STROBE checklist. Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org. I suggest adjusting the configuration of the tables.	
Minor REVISION comments	At the conclusion of the abstract, they cite the acronym "LIS", but before they do not describe it, it is necessary to describe it before citing the acronym. Material and methods: has written at the end of the second paragraph: "were excluded as exclusion criteria" - I suggest revising the sentence due to redundancy.	
Optional/General comments	Dear editor and author's, I appreciate the opportunity to review the above manuscript Fluid Management Using Cardiometry in ARDS Patients . The topic is of interest to critical care professionals and I recommend accepting the manuscript for publication./	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bárbara Bernardo R da Silva Figueirêdo
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil