

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81525
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of Patients Undergoing Open Radical Cystectomy for Management of Muscle Invasive Bladder Cancer in A Tertiary Centre in Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Authors did a good job in this study - Well organized and comprehensive study - Study design, and place and duration of study better to be included in the methodology in the abstract. - Total number of patients included in the study should be included in the abstract - were males (34 patients).. were males 34 patients - The main complaints of the patients.. better to be main presentation as pts cannot see non-visible hematuria - were cT2 (22 patients).. had stage T2 disease (22 patients) - table 5 and 6: cannot be given the same title - time, however with.. time; however, with - 60.0 (±11.8 years).. (60.0 ±11.8 years) - It is better to clear the abbreviations at the bottom of each table if needed - You can add (Characteristics) to the keywords 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emad Mofid Nassif Rezkallah
Department, University & Country	South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, England