

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81351
Title of the Manuscript:	THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF NANOCURCUMIN IN EXPERIMENTAL STATIN INDUCED MYOPATHY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author should work on the title of the manuscript as the current title does not really define the aim of the study.</p> <p>In this study, what is the aim of atorvastatin administration? Why the use of carboxymethylcellulose as the vehicle for atorvastatin, why not distilled or deionized water?</p> <p>For this study, I think evaluating some serum biomarkers would have been good. This will further confirm the results from the histopathological examination. However, if the author(s) did this, it will be good to present this result.</p> <p>Aside caspase 3, did the author(s) evaluated any other apoptotic marker?</p> <p>Were oxidative stress and inflammatory markers determined? This is very important for the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The methodology can be written in a better and more comprehensive formats.</p> <p>Why was there a variation in the number of animals used especially in group IV when compared to other groups?</p> <p>Kindly confirm the thickness of the tissue paraffin section (5 or 6 µm).</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In my opinion, histopathological examination only is not enough to conclude based on the aim of this study. The author(s) should provide more biomarker results or carry out experiments to justify the histopathological examinations.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abolaji Olagunju
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil