

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81128
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Practices of primary health care providers regarding childhood immunization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I made my comments in the text. I think it is important for authors to standardize the objectives of the work. The text has tables that could be removed, and the information inserted in the text. The discussion of the work could bring more current authors besides those that were cited.</p> <p>Revise the paper according to the journal guidelines, especially tables, figures and references</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I found many similar terms with these three articles: https://www.mmj.eg.net/article.asp?issn=1110-2098;year=2016;volume=29;issue=4;spage=1018;epage=1024;aulast=El https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321278678 Knowledge and practice of healthcare providers as regards routine children vaccination in primary healthcare facilities of Quesna District Menoufia Governorate https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259529519 Assessment of cold chain status for immunization in central Ethiopia</p> <p>But I see, having found similarity in the text plus many common terms. I ask the authors to check mainly because this can occur due to the use of the same methodology.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The research topic is relevant and current, in the pandemic scenario and vaccine safety and efficiency are a great weapon in the fight against diseases as well as people trained to correctly apply these vaccines. The text is easy, recommended to authors of realization as not intuitive to enhance the work.</p> <p>If you have a protocol number and the specific name of the ethics committee it would be very important to describe it here.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thaís Ranielle Souza de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Unieuro University Center, Brazil