

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81104
Title of the Manuscript:	Immediate tooth autotransplantation into fresh extraction sockets: a case series and literature review
Type of the Article	Case series

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> No opinion of the Ethics Committee is reported. Furthermore, many patients are minors. For a non-interventional observational retrospective study, the acquisition of informed consent may be sufficient. It should be better specified that the study is non-interventional observational retrospective (ie no experimental intervention was performed). Alternatively, the approval of an ethics committee is also required. Describe the therapeutic alternatives for individual cases. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>"The surgeon ensured that a 40-mm-thick articulating paper could pass between the teeth without resistance, thus keeping the transplanted teeth out of occlusion." Comment: The surgeon wanted to be really sure that the tooth was out of occlusion ...</p> <p>Case submissions may take too long to read. To make it smoother, it could be summarized in a table.</p> <p>The results could be summarized in a table.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The work is interesting and well done and deserves to be published.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saturnino Marco Lupi
Department, University & Country	University of Pavia, Italy