

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81104
Title of the Manuscript:	Immediate tooth autotransplantation into fresh extraction sockets: a case series and literature review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1-There are cases that required general anaesthesia, but the patients did not mention whether they are suitable or not for general anaesthesia.</p> <p>2- In the method of preparation, the measurement of the length and width of the roots of donor teeth was mentioned, but the measurement method and the tool used were not specified, and whether the measurement was done at apical or gingival third or both of the roots.</p> <p>3- In the method of preparation (Extra modifications of recipient area) are mentioned, but it is not specified what these modifications are?</p> <p>4- In case No. 2, orthopantomograph is not present, like the rest of the cases to detect the third molars at second and third quadrant area of the mouth which used as donor teeth.</p> <p>5- there is no any picture intraoperatively of how to work or to prepare the socket.</p> <p>6- In discussion paragraph, can you explain this part (In our patients, splints were removed after 2 weeks of fixation to minimize any chances of root surface), what chances you want to minimize?</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noor Abdullah Sulaiman
Department, University & Country	Mosul University, Iraq