

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81006
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of Sexual and Reproductive Health among Adolescents in a Ghanaian Municipality: A Mixed Method Approach
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1Between Introduction and Material and method in the Manuscript- literature review is written. This literature review is not required as per IMRad format.</p> <p>2. Citations are not given as reference number in brackets.</p> <p>3.References are not numbered. Some are not written according to Vancouver style.</p> <p>4. There is no mention of Ethical approval.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revisions in Abstract- When the abbreviation SRH is used for the first time , complete term should be explained in text at least once. 2. Time period of the study is not mentioned in Methodology. 3. Words are missing in abstract-e.g "School was found to be the most _ source" 4. In Recommendations –Says - Knowledge "gab" by government 5. Recommendations are not a part of the abstract as per IMRad. 	
Optional/General comments	There is scope for improvement of sentence construction at many places.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seema Grover
Department, University & Country	Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, India