

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_80790
Title of the Manuscript:	Renoprotective Effect of Losartan Versus Enalapril in Children with Chronic Kidney Disease
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Good writing and nice organization. But some points need explanation</b> 1- the untreated group, what is their usual treatment for controlling disease? 2- Does the patients received any Anti-hyperlipidemic agents? Either how took enalapril or losartan or the untreated patients 3- mention the reference for dose administered  Thanks	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Noor Mohammed Abdulrahman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Basrah, Iraq</b>