

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_80627
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between knowledge and practice of physical activity and development of chronic diseases among adults in Port Harcourt, Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The aim study is to assess the relationship between knowledge and practice of physical activity and development of chronic diseases among adults in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. But the contribution of the researcher is not reflected in the abstract. Some comments are given here to follow before publication as following.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Abstract is too long. It must be précised. The language of abstract should be different from introduction.</li><li>2. Gap of the previous research must be clear properly.</li><li>3. Methodology must be improved by controlling extraneous variables in regression.</li><li>4. Multistage data collection procedure must be explained in research design.</li><li>5. The results reported in any table must be described before the presentation of that particular table.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Language must be improved and long sentences should be avoided.</li><li>2. Space in table should be more and table should be clear.</li><li>3. In discussion, all results have been repeated. It must be written as interpretation of data in a proper way.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>.(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Susmita Mandal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>