

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_80558
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Profile of Stroke patients at Neuropsychiatry Department, Tanta University Hospitals
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The aim and rationale of this study done at the Tanta University Hospital (Egypt) was to describe the epidemiological profile of stroke during the period of study hoping for more effective prevention and control. While the data presented are not surprising, as regards type of stroke: ischemic stroke presented by 63.5%of patients and hemorrhagic stroke by 36.5%. How do authors explain the high percent of hemorrhagic stroke	
Minor REVISION comments	The aim and rationale of this study done at the Tanta University Hospital (Egypt) was to describe the epidemiological profile of stroke during the period of study hoping for more effective prevention and control. While the data presented are not surprising, as regards type of stroke: ischemic stroke presented by 63.5%of patients and hemorrhagic stroke by 36.5%. How do authors explain the high percent of hemorrhagic stroke	
Optional/General comments	Local study	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Popa-Wagner Aurel
Department, University & Country	Essen University Hospital, Germany