

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_79368
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study Between Adductor Canal Block, Femoral Nerve Block and Epidural Analgesia for Management of Post-Operative Pain in Total Knee Replacement
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Was power analysis done for the strength of the study? 2- Material method should be written in more detail <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The anesthesia technique used should be written in detail. - Has a tourniquet been used? - What precautions were taken for tourniquet pain? - Have you been premedicated? What drugs were used for premedication 3- Which statistical methods were used? 4- Results; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Which group uses morphine more? - Success rate, onset of sensory block, onset of motor block, duration of analgesia should be table. -Which satisfaction scale was used 5- Discossion; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussion should be shortened. - Parts that are not relevant to the hypothesis should be removed. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sedat Saylan
Department, University & Country	Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey