

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_78857   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CASE REPORT ON SACRO-COCCYGEAL TERATOMA                              |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Major points.</p> <p>This manuscript did not provide definitive pathological features of this tumor. For diagnosis of this tumor, pathological features are needed, and should be described. Review of this rare tumor seemed to be incomplete. In review of the literature by the authors, how many reports of coccygeal teratoma have been published previously? In addition, this manuscript seems to be lengthy, and did not explain the clinicopathological features clearly. This sacrococcygeal teratoma is rare disease. However, the authors did not provide new information from the authors' experience of this rare disease in Abstract and Text. For example, blood data provide useful findings suggesting coccygeal teratoma? From the authors' experience, what is most useful findings suggesting this tumor?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. "Abstract" should be shortened, and should provide main significant features of this case. Peripheral blood smear and normal range urea, creatine, sodium,... are not necessary in Abstract, and should be deleted. The authors should describe why this case report is needed for publication in Abstract. For example, "Sacrococcygeal teratoma can cause critical disturbance in defecation function of infants, although this disease is extremely rare benign tumor."</li> <li>2. "Introduction" should be shortened.</li> <li>3. A case report should be described simply and clearly. The manifestation of the patient should be described chronologically.               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Patient's age and sex (A 5-year old female)</li> <li>(2) Chief complain.</li> <li>(3) Present illness, family history, and imaging and laboratory findings.</li> <li>(4) Surgery, and surgical findings.</li> <li>(5) Postsurgical status.</li> <li>(6) Pathological findings.</li> </ol> <p>Patients number in Hospital and date of hospitalization are not needed.</p> <p>For example of case report:<br/>               "A 5-year-old female was hospitalized in our hospital for evaluation of gluteal swelling. She had complained of gluteal tenderness due to swelling since 15 days before. Patient's difficulty in passing stool and sitting had developed since 3 days before. The patient had been diagnosed "sacrococcygeal teratoma" at the birth due to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and had been followed up. Physical examination at admission disclosed abdominal distention and rectal mass. MRI revealed a large mass with fatty and cystic areas involving gluteal regions accompanying hemorrhages in pelvic regions (better: practical size presented). Blood counts revealed normocytic mildly hypochromic anemia (hemoglobin, 8.5 g/dL; HCHC, 34.1%, MCV, 71.6 fL), increased white blood cells (12,100/<math>\mu</math>L), decreased platelet count (47.800/<math>\mu</math>L). Serum laboratory data were normal: including serum levels of alpha-fetoprotein (1.41 (unit needed)) and beta human chorionic gonadotropin (2.39 (unit needed))....."</p> </li> <li>4. No pathological findings were described. Definite diagnosis of teratoma needed pathological features of this tumor. What components were found in this tumor? Immature components were not present? Coccygeal chordoma was not found?</li> <li>5. What the authors insisted in encountering this tumor? No authors' opinion were included in "Discussion".</li> <li>6. "Conclusion" is long.</li> </ol> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Minor points:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No spaces after colon (in Abstract); no spaces between period and the top of sentences (in Abstract); and no spaces between parentheses and words throughout the manuscript.</li> <li>2. Misspells: sacram (sacrum, Abstract), line 1; coccox (coccyx, Abstract, line 1); Gluteral (Gluteal, Abstract, line 5; page 4, line 4); allother (all other, page 3); ...</li> </ol>   |  |

**Review Form 1.6**

|                                  |   |  |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | <p>3. "mhc" should be capitalized (MCHC). (in Abstract): "BHCG" (<math>\beta</math>-HCG?); ..</p> <p>4. No unit mentioned of blood examination data (example: alpha fetoprotein; beta-hCG; urea, ....)</p> <p>5. Reference numbers using parenthesis should be stated before periods.</p> <p>6. What is "SCT" ? (page 2, line 6). What is "AVBRH" ? (page 3, line 10) These abbreviations were abruptly used.</p> <p>7. The authors used both "tumor" and "tumour", and should use one of them throughout the manuscript.</p> |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments | <p>This manuscript described clinicopathological features of coccygeal teratoma. This disease is rare, and should be published. However, I think that this manuscript has some problems as scientific report. This manuscript has numerous grammatical errors and misspells, and should be edited by native English scientists.</p>   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Susumu Matsukuma</b>                        |
| Department, University & Country | <b>National Defense Medical College, Japan</b> |