

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_78721
Title of the Manuscript:	Expression of Salivary miRNA-31 in Oral Submucous Fibrosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. It is necessary to emphasize whether the research sample is using matching or not b. it is better to use active sentences in the methodological and results explanation sections c. In the background section, paragraphs must be broken down so that they are easily understood by readers and each paragraph focuses on discussing in detail the essence of the message conveyed d. in the methodology section it is necessary to display the time of research data collection e. the sampling section, it is necessary to confirm whether the matching is carried out or not f. case and control inclusion criteria should be combined into one subsection g. should present the frequency distribution in the case and control groups respectively h. it is better to take the obtained OR because it is a case control study i. It should be emphasized in the conclusion section, is the finding a correlation or an association? 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gede Peri Arista I
Department, University & Country	Universitas Udayana, Indonesia