

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_78119
Title of the Manuscript:	Adult Scoliosis: Surgical Outcomes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Rephrase: Surgical management requires a clear assessment of the functional impact of scoliosis, the failure of conservative treatments and precise analysis of radiological investigations (full spine views, dynamic X-rays and MRI)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Cobb's angle before intervention was 6 cases > 60 degrees, 14 cases between 50 and 60 degrees and 10 cases between 40 and 50 degrees became 14 cases between 10 and 0 degrees, and 10 cases between 10 and 20 degrees.</p> <p>Spelling of angle and whole phrasing of sentence to be changed.</p> <p>2. Visual analogue scale before and postoperative, and three and six months later detect ,a good result achieved, As VAS before intervention was ; 20 patients from 9 to 10, 9 patients from 6 to 8, one patient from 3 to 5. VAS post-operative after six months became only four patients from 6 to 8, twenty four patients from 3 to 5 and only two patients from 0 to 2.</p> <p>Spelling of six, also rewrite whole sentence.</p> <p>3. Many more such minor spelling and grammatical errors are there in document. Also, check for punctuation and spacing errors</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. Whole document has many spelling and grammatical errors which is not good for a reputed journal. Whole document needs some rephrasing for clear meaning of sentences.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harry Kourtopoulos
Department, University & Country	Linkoping, Medical Schools, Sweden