

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_77901
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICIAN GUIDELINE COMPLIANCE: The use of color-coded LDL-C in the patients' chart
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article content isn't found linking with the title of the study</li> <li>2. Abstract shouldn't be with references</li> <li>3. Abstract comprises of certain sentences which seems like future suggestion or conclusion; abstract should be re-written</li> <li>4. No clarity on "nudge", even if author has tried to explain</li> <li>5. Repetition or copy paste of sentence from abstract in introduction</li> <li>6. Subheadings aren't catchy</li> <li>7. Short forms for the first time in the article should be with abbreviations with the short form in bracket</li> <li>8. Majority of the references and matter related to the particular study are pretty old to consider</li> <li>9. Paragraphs are jumbled</li> <li>10. Certain sentences look unrelated or hard to link with the context and even look isolated</li> <li>11. Few citations are improper</li> <li>12. Lack of citations and references makes much of the concepts discussed, hardly appropriate</li> <li>13. Much of the matters are profound</li> <li>14. Discussing on other clinical conditions like diabetes and so, isn't mixing well with the theme of the article</li> <li>15. Certain statements denoting medical ethics, like the below mentioned, might induce critical conflicts among the readers especially from the medical profession:                      "Healthcare professionals tend to break the rules and may follow the wrong policies. The more rules imposed on workers, the less likely they will comply. Human is logically adaptable and may modify rules which make non-adherence unavoidable."</li> <li>16. Linking air traffic control and other such statements with the current article is declining the quality and essence of the article</li> <li>17. A short summary if added might help the readers understand the work better</li> <li>18. Conclusion is poorly written</li> <li>19. References: not proper</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Grammar, terminologies and related issues all through out of the article</li> <li>2. No link in between paragraphs</li> <li>3. Aim and scope of the review is unclear</li> <li>4. References should be according to journals requirement and should be uniform, punctuations should be checked</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Concept is fine, but the entire article needs a major revision	

**Comment [J1]:** Without reference this statement might induce adverse reactions from the professionals reading this work

**Comment [J2]:** This is a critical/unfriendly opinion

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jintu Kurian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swami Vivekananda Yoga Anusandhana Samsthana (SVYASA), India</b>