

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_77225
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Tyrer Cuzick model for Breast cancer risk assessment among Pakistan's female population
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The goal of this study is to validate the Tyrer Cuzick model for Pakistan's females. A questionnaire was formulated based on the risk factors included in the Tyrer Cuzick model. Age, weight and height, age at menarche, parity status, age at first birth, menopause state, previous biopsy and histopathology, hormonal therapy use, duration of hormonal therapy use, mammographic density, family history, including breast or ovarian cancer, degree of relative and age at diagnosis. Finally, the Tyrer Cuzick model can assess the population with the risk factors (age group, menopausal status, family history, and mammographic density).</p> <p><b>However, there is no detail explanation of the Tyrer Cuzick model. One subsection in "Materials and Methods" should be added to clarify the Tyrer Cuzick model.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I notice some grammatical problems in this article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In the Introduction, I found "... <b>are increasing overtime due to advances in diagnostic facilities but still locally advanced cases and metastatic disease are more common.</b>" It appears that this sentence includes an incomplete comparison. Locally advanced cases and metastatic disease are more common than what? Consider rewriting to complete the comparison.</li> <li>In the Discussion, I found "<b>The characteristic of Asian population were different than the western population i.e., ...</b>" It seems that "<b>characteristic</b>" may not agree in number with other words in this phrase. Consider changing it to "<b>characteristics</b>". Also, the preposition "<b>than</b>" after the adjective "<b>different</b>" is incorrect. Consider changing it to another proposition "<b>from</b>". Example: <b>The characteristics of the Asian population were different from the western population, i.e., ...</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sugiyanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adhi Tama Institute Of Technology Surabaya, Indonesia</b>