

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_77173
Title of the Manuscript:	Fetal Renal Volume and Fetal Renal Artery Doppler in Normal and Intrauterine Growth Restricted Fetuses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All the references in the text of the research article are in "End –Note" format which is not reader friendly. It is much easier and standardised to quote references in Harvard or Vancouver style. 2. List of references at the end of the research article is missing. 3. The content of all the tables in the research article is missing. Content to be incorporated please. 4. No mention of whether ethical and scientific committee approvals of the hospital where study was conducted were taken prior to start of study, especially because this is a prospective study. Mention of approvals to be made in the article under materials and methods section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Many grammatical errors in the sentences throughout the article. Can be corrected by perusing the article again by the authors. 2. Same references are repeatedly quoted in the article. More number of references can be added instead of repeating same references multiple times. 	
Optional/General comments	Good topic for research in obstetric ultrasound.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>K Sandhya Hemraj</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>M.V.J Medical College and Research Hospital, India</i>