

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_77122
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIALS OF MEDICINAL PLANTS WITH ANTIVIRAL PROPERTIES: THE NEED FOR A PARADIGM SHIFT IN DEVELOPING NOVEL ANTIVIRALS AGAINST COVID-19
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title is okay. - Abstract section writings are inappropriate. I suggest rewriting the abstract by adding a focus point. - Try to avoid we, I, our from the manuscript. - Authors are suggested to replace 'CoVID-19', 'SARS-COV-2' with 'COVID-19', 'SARS-CoV-2' throughout the manuscript. - State the objective of the study clearly in the abstract section. - Many sentences are grammatically problematic. - Delete the redundant words throughout the whole manuscript. - Need to arrange the introduction section logically. - The introduction section is inapplicable. Try to include the recent literature based on COVID-19. - Addition of a few epidemiological parameters, if feasible, will strengthen the manuscript, For example, Incidence Rate, Test Positive Rate, Case Fatality Rate, Test per million. - References in the introduction section is inappropriate. - The section of the introduction seems incomplete. This section needs deep modification with up-to-date information about COVID-19 treatment options. Different medical hypotheses recommended different treatment approaches and gave convincing arguments about why new discoveries urgently required for treatment option COVID-19. - Write the details of the recent mutation in the introduction section. - New SARS-COV-2 Variants section written without appropriate references. Need a logical flow of the writings with sufficient references—the number of references used this section also too few. - Discussion section should need to extend. - Novelty of the author's work and recommendation should be added by the author in the conclusion section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Spacing, punctuation marks, grammar, and spelling errors should be reviewed thoroughly. I found so many typos throughout the manuscript. - English is modest. The authors need to develop their writing style. The whole manuscript needs to be checked by native English speakers. 	
Optional/General comments	Although the article has scientific rigor, several minor flows need to be improved before publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Talha Bin Emran
Department, University & Country	BGC Trust University Bangladesh, Bangladesh