

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_76956
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING ADHERENCE TO SELF-CARE PRACTICES AMONG DIABETES PATIENTS IN A SELECTED TERTIARY HOSPITAL, OSUN STATE
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract should be excerpt from the manuscript. That means contents which are not present within the manuscript should not be present in the abstract. In this manuscript contents like sample size calculation and sampling technique used are not presented in the method section, but they are in the abstract. In fact, they should be present in the method section. As Abstract is summary of the work, it should be very precise and short. <p>Method</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the method section there should be sub-sections that states about the study setting, the population, sample size and sampling procedure used during the data collection The data collection tool used is not clear. Some are self developed and others are adopted .Contents of the tool are not mentioned. It has to be mentioned and number of items used to address each domain (knowledge and adherence to self care practice) should be mentioned. Validity and reliability of the questionnaire is very important. Nothing is said about this in this paper. Which domains of diabetic self practices (general diet, specific diet, exercise, medication and self-monitoring of blood glucose) are assesses in this study? All or partly? Nothing is stated in the method section. Please try to address these things and for this I can recommend you best article as an input for further strengthening you work https://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/23/7/943 The most important thing in the method section is that measurement for knowledge of diabetes, knowledge about self-care practices. What is the scale for good or poor knowledge of diabetes or knowledge about self-care practices? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> What is the level of diabetic self care practice in Nigeria? Please try to add literatures indicating the level of diabetic self care practice in Nigeria to the introduction part. This is because without knowing the level of diabetic self care practice, studying factors affecting diabetic self care practice will be nonsense. <p>Result</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Try to divide the result part into sub-sections (as Socio-demographics of the study participants, Participants knowledge on diabetes mellitus, Participants knowledge on diabetes self care practice, Determinants of adherence to diabetes self care practice) Use of words/phrases should be appropriate in result section. For example 50.4% may not be expressed as more than half. Better to say half than more than half. Tables should be formatted properly. Example. When merging of cell is necessary, it should be merged (see in the table where cells need to be merged) Abbreviation in a table should be written in full form under the table (example SD, RII... in this manuscript) <p>Reference</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Write references following Vancouver rules. [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] can be written as [2-6] shortly. Also follow rules for online sources 	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yimer Mekonnen</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jimma University, Ethiopia</i>