

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_76746
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practices towards COVID-19 Vaccinations: A Cross-sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript contains a summary. This study was conducted to assess the general knowledge, attitudes and practices of the population towards vaccinations against coronavirus in Pakistan. The Manuscript's general arrangement is well-organized and balanced. The article is written clearly and correctly and with the minimum length necessary for all relevant information. The title is adequate for the content, informative, concise, and clear. Abstract is comprehensive, concise, includes the most interesting and essential material, and it briefly describes the aim of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. Keywords are 5 keywords were provided immediately following the abstract. Introduction provides a factual background, clearly defined problem, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done. Recent literature on the subject is summarized. Material and methods give adequate information to allow the experiment to be reproduced and are clearly described in a concise manner. The figures are understandably positioned inside the text, and figures have a caption. Results are clearly described in a concise manner. Discussion provides detailed interpretation of data. The citations are given in support of the findings. Conclusions state the major findings of the study. Authors declare that ethical approval and informed consent from the participants were approved by ethical review committee. Declaration of competing interest is compulsory There are competing interests. References are mostly recent, appropriate, and adequate to related works covered sufficiently at the end of the manuscript. <p>Accordingly, it was recommended that the manuscript will be accepted after the following revision comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title needs language editing, quality of writing and the criteria for writing it. Manuscript needs editing of language and quality of writing. Declaration of competing interest is compulsory. Clarification of authors' contributions. 	
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The title needs language editing, quality of writing and the criteria for writing it.• Manuscript needs editing of language and quality of writing.• Declaration of competing interest is compulsory.• Clarification of authors' contributions.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manuscript needs editing of language and quality of writing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Abeer Samir Mohammed Yamany</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Zagazig University, Egypt</i>