

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_76481
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti platelet effect of Aspirin in Ischemic Stroke : A Hospital Based Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the current study, the author here tried to evaluate the antiplatelet effect of aspirin in ischemic stroke patients, for a duration of one year. Although the perspective of the paper is interesting, there are some errors that should be edited and revised:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The whole manuscript should be rechecked by a native English for grammatical errors. 2) Structure of the manuscript could be changed. All the work from the present study can be in one paragraph. 3) Authors should elaborate as to dose- and time dependent antiplatelet effects of aspirin. 4) Daily use of aspirin is not right for everyone, it should be discussed in the manuscript. 5) No statistical analysis was involved in this study. Then, the results of this study are invalid for publication. 6) All figures in the manuscript should be rechecked and ensure high quality. 7) The ethical issues in the study are not clear, it should be explained. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mahmoud Gharbavi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i>