

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_76340
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Endocrinologic Pattern in Infertile Black Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Regarding the manuscript (Reproductive Endocrinologic Pattern in Infertile Black Women with Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome). I have the following recommendations</p> <p>1-In the finding section the percentage of hirsutism should be mentioned 2-In the Introduction section the Author must defined infertility & its types 3-In the Material & method section in which day of the period the blood samples were obtained? 4-The ovaries are described as PCO with 12 or more follicles (2-9) mm in one or both ovaries. 5-The statistical analysis section should be reviewed, there is no student test in the result section. The author should mention Z test. Z test & t test can be used for data which is non-normally distributed but it may give inaccurate evaluation, however there are other preferable methods to use in such conditions. 6-In the result section table 1, the last row the author should write poly cystic ovary (PCO) not polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS).In table 3 the comparison should be done between high and normal testosterone ,otherwise the author must give explanation for this low value in discussion section. The type of testosterone hormone must be mentioned (free or total). 7-In the discussion section it's better to illustrate why 16.4 % of the patients presented with high FSH levels? While the mean age of participated women is 26 8-The relation between hypothyroidism & PCOS should be discussed in discussion section. 9- It's better to add recent & international references</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Razaw O. Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	University of Kirkuk, Iraq