

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_75652
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) among antenatal clinic attendees in Masaka, Karu, Local Government Area, Nasarawa state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Title – to shorten. Suggested: “Prevalence of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection among antenatal clinic attendees in Masaka, Nigeria” or “a town in Nigeria”</p> <p>2. Introduction: Include details on the <u>impact</u> of vertical transmission of HBV from mother to child. Give statistics with references. Impact on health, economy etc may be elaborated. This will explain why ANC women are chosen as subjects in this study</p> <p>3. Mismatch in values given in line 21 of abstract and line 39 of intro! Needs to be corrected... or clarify what statistic has been given</p> <p>4. Language correction needed in quite a few places, so the reader will be able to understand clearly. Have corrected some areas and highlighted certain others in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. the study concludes that prevalence here is lower than the national average. It would be interesting if the author would flesh out the reasons - why this difference? Any factors? - any policy responsible? Then it can be replicated elsewhere</p> <p>6. . The study concludes that prevalence here is lower than the national average. Implication of this finding needs to be clearly brought out in the conclusion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Interesting study from the author.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preetha Paul
Department, University & Country	Tagore Medical College and Hospital, India