

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_75495
Title of the Manuscript:	To assess social demographic characteristics of women with pelvic organ prolapse.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Justification, aim and objectives of the study not mentioned.</p> <p>Methodology is not clear.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sample size calculation not mentioned.</li> <li>• Inclusion and exclusion criteria not well defined</li> <li>• Pelvic examination and system of classification of pelvic organ prolapse used is not described.</li> </ul> <p>Association of parity with pelvic organ prolapse is not discussed .</p> <p>Basis of results/ associations found in the study is not well discussed.</p> <p>Concluding points should correspond to the aim and objectives of the study.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Limitations of the study should be mentioned.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Framing of sentences needs improvement. Grammatical mistakes need correction</p> <p>References should be formatted as per journal guidelines..</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

## Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Purnima Upreti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sri Rama Himalayan University, India</i>