

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_75037
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Towards Reproductive Health among Females Attending Rural Family Medicine Unit in Gharbia Governorate, Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introductory part of the article should be revised for fluency. Paragraph 6 "Studies conducted in Egypt regarding ....." should appear at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>2. The paragraph starting with the sentence The Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistic ..... should be removed. It is not very relevant to the subject.</li> <li>3. It would be good if confirmatory factor analysis could be done for the scale you developed about the attitude.</li> <li>4. In the Discussion section, the same reference results should be given together and discussed. The results of each study are given and discussed.</li> <li>5. Too many references. Outdated references can be removed.</li> <li>6. Punctuation and spelling errors should be re-examined.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Besey Ören</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Health Sciences, Turkey</b>