

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_74904
Title of the Manuscript:	Fibrin Monomer in Chronic HCV Cirrhotic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is no information about the type of the study. Was it a case-control study? I recommend to add this information.</li> <li>2. How did you confirm that patients enrolled in the study didn't have disseminated intravascular coagulation regarding that they had elevated levels of D-dimer? I suppose that it could be mentioned in discussion.</li> <li>3. Group 3 comprised 25 patients but fibrin monomer levels were obtained only in 17 patients. What was the reason? I recommend to provide this information in Results section.</li> <li>4. I recommend to expand the statistical analysis section. You should mention correlation analysis, ROC-curve analysis since you show the results of these analyses. What method of correlation analysis did you use?</li> <li>5. What was the reason that you present the numerical indicators as mean±SD? This is usually used in parametric statistics in data that has normal distribution. How did you find out that numerical indicators had a normal distribution? This point should be explained in statistical analysis section.</li> <li>6. You didn't provide any information about the clinical picture of the patients enrolled in the study, especially the Child-Pugh score. I recommend to use a table with clinical characterization of the patients enrolled in the study.</li> <li>7. The increase of D-dimer levels in your study not only is the result of increased fibrinolytic activity but also is a marker of a hypercoagulable state. Regarding this and also the positive correlation between D-dimer and Fibrin Monomer did you check your patients for an absence of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism? I recommend to discuss this points in the discussion section.</li> <li>8. Your study has several limitations which you should describe in discussion section.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anatolii Rubanenko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Samara State Medical University, Russia</b>