

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_71532
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Immunity in Pediatric Drug Resistant Epilepsy Clinical, Neurophysiological, Neuroimaging and Immunological Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- In the last paragraph before statistical analysis (resistant) is wrongly written, please correct. 2- Cite your tables in the results section, also put abbreviations under each figure. 3- The range of age in group I is (18-51) and group II (10-52) how is this and you divided the age into less than 5, 5-<10, 10-<15, and 15-<18 please clarify. 4- Also, how is the mean of age is 13.79±4.75 in group I and 12.96±4.76 in group II while most of the patients 58.3% (group I) and 62.5% (group II) please revise and clarify. 5- Put (psychiatric manifestations) in table 3 above them. 6- In table 4 is it bilateral or bitemporal as in table 4 it is bilateral while in results it is bitemporal. 7- In 4th paragraph of results please mention that these findings are found in MRI. 8- You didn't mention any thing about table 5 in the results section (EEG activity in both groups). 9- (Positive cases were about 66.63% of cases in the drug-resistant group compared with none in the control group with a statistically significant difference toward NMDA and VGKC antibodies) at the end of the results is not shown in figure 1 as you mentioned. 10- 1st paragraph of discussion about the prodromal symptoms were not mentioned in the results. 11- In discussion section why are you repeating your results again in about 2 full pages and they were previously mentioned. Discussion is for comparing your results with other authors with possible explanation. 12- In the last page of your discussion what is (BBB, AEDs, SE and MMSE) please state the full name before the abbreviation. 13- Discussion needs to be re written again with comparing your results with other authors and see who is with and who is against. 14- Although you mentioned the abbreviations at the end of your paper (which is not all and needs to be revised) you need to put them in the manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>.(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samar H Goma
Department, University & Country	Assiut University, Egypt