



SDI 1.6 Review Form

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Magazine name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript number: | Ms_JAMMR_67847 |
| Manuscript title: | ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL POTENTIALS OF MICROBIAL CONTAMINANTS FROM NAIRA NOTES IN NIGERIA |
| Item Type | |

General guideline for the peer review process:

The peer review policy of this journal states that NO manuscript should be rejected solely for "lack of novelty", as long as the manuscript is scientifically sound and technically sound. For the complete guide to the peer review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI 1.6 Review Form

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer comment | Author's comment (if you agree with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors are required to write their comments here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Mandatory REVIEW Comments</p> <p>The Manuscript "Evaluation of Pathogenic Potentials of Microbial Contaminants from Naira Notes in Nigeria" is an extensive statistical work that reveals the degree of contamination by bacteria and filamentous fungi in the environment. The number of samples and the sampling field considered according to the standard recommendation. I do not find innovation in the reported results or conclusions or recommendations.</p> <p>The Authors do not follow the template of the authors guide, therefore, a very large number of observations and comments had to be made in the writing.</p> | <p>The Manuscript "Evaluation of Pathogenic Potentials of Microbial Contaminants from Naira Notes in Nigeria" is an extensive statistical work that reveals the degree of contamination by bacteria and filamentous fungi in the environment. The number of samples and the sampling field considered was according to the standard recommendation. I do not find innovation in the reported results or conclusions or recommendations.</p> <p>The Authors do not follow the template of the authors guide, therefore, a very large number of observations and comments had to be made in the writing.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Authors did not follow the instructions of the Journal Editor about the format they have to follow for the body of the Manuscript. They did not follow the author's guide template. 2. It is recommended to use references from recent years. The Archives of the JAMMR presents interesting Articles that can support the study. 3. The References section must be of the type of numbering based on the order in which they are cited in the Manuscript. 4. I leave to the Editor's consideration if the Manuscript has sufficient quality to be published in its Journal. | |
| <p>Less REVIEW Comments</p> <p>The entire document has errors of punctuation omission. It is suggested to review the submitted document where I inserted all the comments and corrections that have to be made.</p> | <p>The entire document has errors of punctuation omission. It is suggested to review the submitted document where I inserted all the comments and corrections that must be made.</p> <p>Next, the number of lines in the document that require attention are listed. 11, 12, 13, 16, 33, 34, 38, 39, 47, 49, 50, 54, 62, 64, 65, 67, 83, 86, 91-93, 106, 109, 122, 125, 131, 141, 143, 172-177, 190-207, 218-229, 238, 240, 246, 247, 249, 302, 304, 321, 335, 351-439.</p> | |
| <p>Optional / General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Lydia Toscano-Palomar |
| Department, University & Country | Mexicali Institute of Technology, Mexico |