



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_61032
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Immunoglobulin levels in Maternal and Cord Blood and influence of parity on maternal immunoglobulin concentration in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I am pleased to address you in order to greet you and at the same time inform you about the article that I have reviewed. I consider that it is important to know the correlation between levels of immunoglobulins into maternal blood and umbilical cord blood; however, I have not found any study indicating the importance of determining these levels of immunoglobulins according to the number of deliveries in women. I think that the authors should show the relevance to find differences between levels of immunoglobulins according to the number of deliveries in this population.</p> <p>Furthermore, the authors consider a very low sample size they are conducting. Please, I consider that the authors should have used a larger sample size and indicated how many women per group (primiparous and multiparous) were considered.</p> <p>The discussion has several deficiencies because they consider the placental barrier as the main cause of the differences found in relation to immunoglobulins A and M, and for G it would not exist. This part of discussion has not any support.</p> <p>I regret to inform that I will not be able to accept the manuscript for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I don't understand why you want to determinate the levels of immunoglobulin by parity (primiparous and multiparous). - Several paragraphs shouldn't be indicated. - It should be indicated the number of children and number of the parturitions. - What were the criteria for using this sample size? - I consider that the minimum and maximum values shouldn't be into the manuscript. I think that you should not indicate the p values, I consider that is better to collocate lowercase letters as super index (i.e. 88.16 +- 7.74^a) - I think that you should not indicate the p values, I consider that is better to collocate lowercase letters as super index. - Delete figures. Authors should only incorporate the tables in their manuscript. - Discussion should initiate with some lines about the central axis of your study. You are describing it as results. - How can environmental factors modify the levels of immunoglobulins in umbilical cord with respect to the maternal blood? This is not indicated into the manuscript. - Why are you concluding based in the nutritional or socio-economic status? This data should have been handled. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript has serious deficiencies so they should be considered by the authors. 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luis Manuel Barrios Arpi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional Mayor De San Marcos, Peru</i>