



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_57684
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PREVALENCE OF URINARY TRACT INFECTION AMONG CARITAS UNIVERSITY STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) must include ethical approval and Competing interest disclosure in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The introduction part is so wide and it seems article review by itself. Upon publication, the readers of this manuscript will potentially get fed-up even before starting to read the rest of the contents. I personally recommend narrowing the introduction part to important concepts only(not more than one page with two columns, as it appears in the manuscript) Minor English revision is required In all cases, the author(s) wrote all the data in the tables in the narration parts too. Better to talk about variables with the highest value or those with the highest and lowest value, unless mandatory (In this manuscript all the narrations are a 100% copy paste of the tables, which makes the manuscript less attractive) Unnecessary table (4), can be merged under table 1 b! Limitations of the study has to be included (the limitations could be small sample size, from the discussion part..."Although the students were educated on how to collect the specimen aseptically, maximum efficiency cannot be guaranteed since most students are not sterility conscious.") Acknowledgement must be included, for instance participants of the study! 	
Optional/General comments	<p>I would suggest the following title for this manuscript based on its content!</p> <p>"Magnitude and characteristics of urinary tract infection among caritas university students: A Pilot study"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urinary tract infection is a common problem among university students, especially in female students. Thus, it is very crucial to know the magnitude and factors associated with this problem. Despite the fact that this study unaddressed the associated factors statistically, I would suggest the study will potentially give insights into the different aspects of the problem as it currently appear. 	

Comment [M1]: Include this as limitation

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Behailu Terefe
Department, University & Country	Jimma University, Ethiopia