

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_82747
Title of the Manuscript:	Global existence for compressible Euler equations with damping in partial space-period domains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the note by author, entitled: "Global existence for compressible Euler equations with damping in partial space-period domains", the author study the global existence of solution to isentropic compressible Euler equations with damping in partial space-period domains.</p> <p>The method is clear, based mainly on the uniform energy estimates. Also, the methods, reliable and valid, are appropriate and well described, there are more than sufficient details, sometimes, probably too much but in such a way the paper is well readable. The discussion and conclusions are well balanced and adequately supported by the data and, moreover, title and abstract accurately convey what has been found.</p> <p>For this reason i suggest to continue the research in this line, considering the study of the existence and regularity of solutions to isentropic compressible equations</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	The paper has original value, so that, it is worthy of publication in Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science. Finally, in my opinion, improvements could be made:	
Optional/General comments	1) The choice of MSC 35L65 does not correspond to your work, then, justify it, or delete it. 1') Same question for 35L67 and 76N15. 2) I suggest to put the bibliography in the order in which it is used. Or do you have put in ordre alphabétique? • MSC 76N15 corresponds to articles that talk about Gas dynamics, general and why did you choose? I ask you to simply remove it from the paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koumla Sylvain
Department, University & Country	Adam Barka University, Chad