

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_88785
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Salmonella spp isolated from ready-to-eat foods and food handlers in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Systematic error of attribution of bibliographical references. Poor correlation between the figures in brackets in the text and the corresponding titles in the list of references. Besides, there are several bibliographical references that discuss about molecular mechanisms of resistance to antibiotics, which are not the purpose of the present study.</b>  <b>The results are not very neatly synthesized, and the conclusions are a mere repetition of the information under Results.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The methodology used for isolation and identification of the isolates is very complex. Why use so many culture media? If we only consider the amount of time and work, not to mention the costs? Why not perform serological identification? It would have been interesting to have a precise pictures of the circulating serotypes (serovars) and see whether there is a concordancy between the strains infecting the vendor and the strain isolated from the food cooked/sold by the vendor. A PFGE study of the isolated strains might have brought some light on the epidemiological context.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandra-Maria Născutiu
Department, University & Country	"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania