

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_86006
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF HEPATITIS B AND D ANTIBODIES AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN ATTENDING ANTENATAL CLINIC AT EKITI STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, ADO-EKITI, EKITI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Author should please state the following in the abstract; Type of study design, type of sample and quantity used, inclusion and exclusion criteria and how he got the consent of the patients. The method used to assay the liver enzymes is not clearly stated. Calculation of sample size is important since this was a prevalence study Principles and Procedures of test methods should be included References should be updated and rearranged as shown below: (1), (2) in the text and <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Imarenezor EPK, Brown STC, Yakubu OE, Soken DC. Survey of Hepatitis B and C among students of Federal University Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria. International Research Journal of Medical Science. (2016); 4(3): 31-37. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Since the Author was verifying the sensitivity and specificity of the rapid test kit and ELISA, more than one test kit should have been used. Were there control samples? If yes, please state it. Table 3 may not be necessary since the information is captured on Table 4. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, it is a good work and the main idea is impressing. Others could equally build on it.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erasmus, Martha A
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria