

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_85769
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF ACETIC ACID BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM SOME SELECTED WASTE PROTEIN SOURCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Must be attention to the search language (weak). ✓ Paraphrasing the abstract (Aim, Methodology and Results) in a scientific manner should include attention to some important results as well as the basic equipment used. ✓ The literature review must be added to the introduction. ✓ Focus on the purpose or aims of the research at the end of the introduction. <p>In part (MATERIALS AND METHODS) <input type="checkbox"/> In part (2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5), It is not enough to mention previous research in this section, the author must add pictures of microscopic examination and details about his work.</p> <p>In part Study area, Media Used and Sample Description: the better, it is combined to form (materials) The materials used and source are mentioned. In general, it is preferable to add a schematic diagram showing the stages of the practical.</p> <p>In part (RESULTS) become (RESULTS and DISCUSSION)</p> <p>Where are the microscopy pictures?</p> <p>In Table 2: (Growth of colonies per time) how this was observed</p> <p>In part (4. DISCUSSION) : It is preferable in this part not to separate The explanation is combined with the results.</p> <p>In part (REFERENCES) The references Preferably for the last five years</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naser Korde Zedin
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq