

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_83367
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Bacterial contamination in drinking water sources in Khartoum/Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript Ms_JAMB_83367 entitled "Assessment of Bacterial contamination in drinking water sources in Khartoum/Sudan" reports on the evaluation of the bacterial contamination in drinking water sources in Khartoum/Sudan. This manuscript deals with a relevant issue, but it needs improvements. Thus, I have some remarks:</p> <p>The paper has to be checked by an English native speaker because there are some grammatical and spelling errors in the article that have to be corrected.</p> <p>The authors explain how the experiments were performed. There is sufficient information present for other researchers to replicate the research. The article identifies the procedures followed.</p> <p>Results and Discussions Sections: The authors present and interpret the results of the experiments performed. These sections are well organized.</p> <p>The authors should mention the Standards used to sample, collect, transportation and analysis of water samples.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The keywords are suitable so the article can be found in the current registers or indexes.</p> <p>Introduction Section: The authors present studies regarding microbial water pollution. I recommend to include studies related water pollution in Sudan and other countries. In my opinion, some of the paragraphs from Discussion section can be included in the Introduction.</p> <p>The authors highlighted the differential and contribution of this study to the state of the art.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Conclusion Section: The authors mention the major and specific conclusions of their research study. Conclusions are sound and justified by the data.</p> <p>Figures and tables: The tables and figures are numbered sequentially, and they are clearly labeled and positioned close to the relevant text. Titles of tables and figures are brief and informative. All the tables and figures included are referred.</p> <p>References Section: The references are accurate and relevant for the subject of the paper. The authors related the water microbial contamination with pollution sources.</p> <p>The authors related their findings with other research results.</p> <p>The paper ends with one Recommendation Section.</p> <p>In my opinion, the work provides an advance towards the current knowledge regarding microbial water pollution in Sudan.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Claudia-Maria Simonescu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Politehnica University of Bucharest, Romania</b>