

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_83273
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIAL CHANGES DURING THE FERMENTATION OF AERIAL POTATO (DISCOREA BULBIFERA LINN)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is a good contribution to a different research context in Nigeria with a very good description of the results. The empirical setting is very interesting because there are not so many publications of this application in this country, and it has a lot of interesting information. In addition, please, find some comments to be addressed in this paper.</p> <p>The objective of the study needs to be developed and more focused on the target of the paper.</p> <p>The materials and methods leave some important questions open such as When the Collection, fermentation and Isolation of the Aerial Potato Samples happened?</p> <p>It needs to have an in-depth chapter of results and conclusions. The authors should put their conclusions based on the literature and the findings of the study.</p> <p>The text has some formatting problems and needs to be double proved before re-submitting.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flavio Andrew do Nascimento Santos
Department, University & Country	University of Lisbon, Portugal