

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_83000
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Inducible Clindamycin Resistance Amongst Clinical Isolates of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Kaduna, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original research papers:

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We discovered a number of other grammatical errors, including the usage of the passive voice.2. Text is difficult to read,3. Accurate spelling;4. Change the phrasing.5. The hyphen may be needed at some points in the text.6. There are many problems with writing, such as wordy sentences and punctuation in paragraphs, as well as several other concerns.7. It's important to do good research, especially now that we end up living in the 5th generation of the digital revolution, the field of data science, big data antibiotic databases, and a lot of database management systems for the microbiome that can lead to better understanding. These databases can show how much innovation there has been in the field of research, as well as what these phenotypes are.8. A strong linguistic proofreading was also discovered to be required once again, which was also shown to be necessary from a linguistic standpoint	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reyed M Reyed
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt