

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_77095
Title of the Manuscript:	A survey on factors influencing COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy in the Bamenda-Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Very confusing. The declared mission is to “The findings of this study will therefore provide useful information to policymakers to formulate the best approach to implement the COVID-19 vaccination program, at this critical time where vaccine hesitancy around the world is very low.” However, the authors mention a very low percentage of people who took the vaccine in Bamenda, where the research is performed. It is not clear how you can conclude on a population with low vaccine hesitancy from a population with high vaccine hesitancy.</p> <p>A review of the literature leading to the survey questions is missing. Even in the section on the “data collection tool” there is no mention on the previous research.</p> <p>Most of the independent variables include demographic data or source on information. There is no mention regarding variables that usually effect vaccine hesitancy like HBM model.</p> <p>A Likert scale of 5 points is probably preferable to yes/no questions. Especially in questions regarding vaccine hesitancy. The low percentage of willingness to take the vaccine probably come from the fact that there is no answer like “probably yes”.</p> <p>Need English editing. For example, “sexes”</p> <p>Multivariate regression is mentioned but the results are not presented or discussed,</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Data regarding vaccination rate are dated to July 2021. Need to update data. The pie chart regarding willingness to take the vaccine is not needed.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharon Teitler Regev
Department, University & Country	Yezreel Valley College, Israel