

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Applied Life Sciences International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JALSI_82251 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF SAND MINING IN SOME COASTAL COMMUNITIES IN PORT HARCOURT METROPOLIS |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Kindly rewrite your abstract and conclusion for better understanding and in depth study. Disregard general statement. Let it reflect your findings and cogent contribution to knowledge. This will enhance visibility of your work after publication.</p> <p>What do you achieved on environmental impacts of sand mining in some coastal communities in port harcourt metropolis? Contribution to knowledge needs to come up. What are the environmental impacts resulting from sand mining activities in some coastal communities of Port Harcourt metropolis?</p> <p>What are the criteria for selection of three communities namely Chokocho, Choba and Abuloma coastal communities in Etche, Obio-Akpor and Port Harcourt city Local Government areas? Check and validate land use/ Land cover map of mining area in various areas</p> <p><i>Adhere strictly to Journal of Applied Life Sciences International guidelines for all sections of your paper</i></p> <p>All tables and figures must be referred to and discussed properly. Put references instead of "cited literatures". Improve on the discussion of your results. Improve on your methodology. How viable is the questionnaires and focus group discussions used? Is it sufficient for the selected communities? Proof read your work properly. You can get better pictures. Remove all grammatical and editorial errors. All equations, figures and plates must be checked. Literature review is inadequate. Please rephrase part of your work to reduce the similarity index /plagiarism. Especially in literature review section. Rephrase part of your work to reduce the similarity index</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Some literatures cited were not listed. Cite more recent references. Kindly check through, cite and list all your references properly. References are inadequate, cite and use recent references between 2012-2022 | |
| Optional/General comments | It is nice to identify salient environmental impacts from your studies | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Oluwadare Joshua Oyebode |
| Department, University & Country | Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti (ABUAD), Nigeria |