

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_78604
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METALS CONTAMINATION AND HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT VIA CONSUMPTION OF MEDICINAL PLANTS FROM AMASSOMA COMMUNITY, BAYELSA STATE OF NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides valuable and useful information about the contents of some heavy metals such as Pb, Cd, Ni and Zn in Pawpaw fruits, seeds, leaf and Scent leaf from Amosoma community in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. The concentrations of these metals are of interest to evaluate the potential risk to the consumers of fruits and leaves.</p> <p>Overall, this study is well-written and well-structured. The Introduction shows the general framework and the reasons of this interesting work. The methodology are appropriate, the research design have been rigorously described and the results are well discussed. The references are relevant for this research topic.</p> <p>The conclusions have been appropriately, being supported by the obtained data revealing that the exposed population may be at risk of developing cancer due to carcinogenic ingestion of Cd and Ni over time.</p> <p>To the authors I recommend that some results to be graphically represented instead of tables, to have a more suggestive overview.</p> <p>Although this manuscript is very well written in a standard English, clear, correct and unambiguous, I suggest a carefully check to correct any grammatical or syntax errors.</p> <p>Given the scientifically significance of this study that provide a clear view on the level of contamination of medicinal plants by the selected heavy metals and, consequently, the associated health human risk, I recommend this manuscript for publication after performing the suggested requirement.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariana-Atena Poiana
Department, University & Country	Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania