

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_78604
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METALS CONTAMINATION AND HUMAN HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT VIA CONSUMPTION OF MEDICINAL PLANTS FROM AMASSOMA COMMUNITY, BAYELSA STATE OF NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The study raises a flag for the potential risk of consumption of plants accumulating heavy metals over the accepted limit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The authors of this study make a statement that their results concerning the concentration of Pb and Cd in medicinal plants are in contradiction with the findings of Adusei-Mensah et al [28] in Ghana. The comparison is somehow disconcerting since there are different sites and probably different plants. -I recommend giving details at point 2.4. about the analysis method, such as the concentration range for the standard curve, its precision (r^2), the detection limit of the method (for each metal) - Please correct the misspellings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - page 2 line 9- "tannins" instead of "tannis" ; - page 5, point 2.7.1, EFR in formula-Efr in the text, AT in formula, ATn in the text. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudia Koncsag
Department, University & Country	Ovidius University of Constanta, Romania