

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JALSI_77427</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MODULATORY EFFECT OF DOCETAXEL PLUS SAPONIN FRACTIONATE OF VITEX DONIANA ON PROSTATE SPECIFIC ANTIGEN AND p53 TUMOUR MARKERS EXPRESSION IN NITRSOBIS (2-OXOPROPYL) AMINE-INDUCED PROSTATE TOXICITY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>COMMENTS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Abstract;</b>  The methodology section should contain the summary of the methods used for the indicated analyses.</li> <li><b>2. Introduction;</b>  The plant of study wasn't well reviewed. More information about the plant should be provided. Other comments are specified on the manuscript.</li> <li><b>3. Materials and Methods;</b>  It wasn't indicated whether the plant of study was authenticated or not. The location from which the plant of study was harvested should be included.</li> <li><b>4. Animal handling and Administration of Agents;</b>  Please provide the place of procurement of the experimental Rats and the Rat's chow.</li> <li><b>5. References;</b>  Ensure that all the references cited are according to the Journal's format. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Other comments are specified on the manuscript</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><b>Page 6: Statistical analysis</b>  <b>p-value of .05</b> or less was considered significant. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The level of significance should be written as <b>p-value of 0.05</b> instead of <b>p-value of .05</b></li> </ul> </p> <p><b>Page 10: References</b>  The subtitle <b>REFERENCE</b> should be written as <b>REFERENCES</b>.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Emmanuel Bukoye Oyewo</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria</i></b>