

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_73934
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant from Callus Technology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs a major revision to highlight its major findings. Most of the statements are vague and not in a sequence. Since study of the same nature has been already reported Benjamin et al., 2019 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Benjamin-Emmanuel/publication/336589669_Callus_Culture_for_the_Production_of_Therapeutic_Compounds/links/5db3523b299bf111d4c92e98/Callus-Culture-for-the-Production-of-Therapeutic-Compounds.pdf. and Adam Matkowski,2008, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0734975008000803?casa_token=lkSUVi6965IAAAAA:-tTO1XfweHnd6mjZqY6ITsUHHnqEkJ0Z8CcUZcInG-75QWNCGDtjctApLy9OW_TiB45Qh</p> <p>What is the rationale of the current study?</p> <p>Callus technology should be explained in the introduction section.</p> <p>The materials and methods and results sections are missing in the manuscript. The findings of the study should be highlighted in the results section of the manuscript.</p> <p>The data is not presented in a technically sound way. The manuscript may be organised in a scientifically systematic way to make a clear sense.</p> <p>The last para of section 2.1 should be rephrased and rectified.</p> <p>Up to date references may be incorporated in the manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The grammatical and typographical mistakes need to be rectified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Abad Khan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Swabi, Pakistan</i>