

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_89051
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF CHARCOAL PRODUCTION ON ENVIRONMENT AND SPECIES PREFERENCE IN YAQSHID DISTRICT MOGADISHU, SOMALIA.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction and problem setting section needs a beef up. More information needed to introduce the study and to justify the need for studying and understanding the problem 2. Referencing regime is poor throughout the work. Some bold statements need factual evidence to support in a relatable manner 3. The discussion part needs to be worked on for readers to appreciate the implication of the findings. 4. There must be consistency in the use of percentages as % or percent 5. The work must be properly edited to make better use of space 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide additional data from the study results for a more comprehensive report 2. Consider using newer references to reflect current trends 3. How different are the preference of species now compared to previous findings. Have new species come up or the presented species have been the preferred ones over the period? 4. Is it possible to combine the results with the discussion for clarity sake 5. The discussion and conclusion section could be conclusions and recommendations 6. Have a look at sentence construction since some of the sentences are clumsy and unclear 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romeo Oduro Akresi
Department, University & Country	Ghana