

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_87048
Title of the Manuscript:	Rural land use design based on an evaluation of insect ecological sensitivity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Rural land use design based on an evaluation of insect ecological sensitivity The title does not give a precise idea about your work, you must change it</p> <p>Part: Abstract At the end of the abstract, you must describe a bit of the methodology used and also you must clearly mention some of the most important results</p> <p>Part: Introduction The introduction is very detailed, rich in bibliographical references and has a direct relationship with the subject treated.</p> <p>Part: Materials and methods Study area You must make a brief description of the ecological parameters of the study station, namely the climate (temperature and precipitation), the soil, the plant formations, etc.</p> <p>Part: Data source Raw GIS data does not need the Institut Géographique du Burundi (IGEBU). You can simply get them from topo maps for example!!!!!! Be careful you left sentences in French! do the english translation</p> <p>Demographic data were obtained from the Office of the district of Mukike. Which office you are speaking about? It's complete name please!</p> <p>Part: Materials This part has no relationship with the written title - Material – You must rewrite it in a correct way showing the material used and there is no need to write meaningless sentences</p> <p>Part: Research Methods Identification of insect ecological sensitivity (GIS-based insect ecological sensitivity analysis) The methodology used is not at all clear The text doesn't make sense Only inconsistent sentences</p> <p>Parte Results and discussion There are parts, which should normally exist in materials and methods but you had met there in this part (results part). Is not clear at all.</p> <p>Part conclusion Very short conclusion that needs more details with alson recommendations and perspectives</p> <p>Bibliography Acceptable; rich contains numerous references</p>	<p>Title: Rural land use design based on an evaluation of insect ecological sensitivity The title does not give a precise idea about your work, you must change it</p> <p>Part: Abstract At the end of the abstract, you must describe a bit of the methodology used and also you must clearly mention some of the most important results</p> <p>Part: Introduction The introduction is very detailed, rich in bibliographical references and has a direct relationship with the subject treated.</p> <p>Part: Materials and methods Study area You must make a brief description of the ecological parameters of the study station, namely the climate (temperature and precipitation), the soil, the plant formations, etc.</p> <p>Part: Data source Raw GIS data does not need the Institut Géographique du Burundi (IGEBU). You can simply get them from topo maps for example!!!!!! Be careful you left sentences in French! do the english translation</p> <p>Demographic data were obtained from the Office of the district of Mukike. Which office you are speaking about? It's complete name please!</p> <p>Part: Materials This part has no relationship with the written title - Material – You must rewrite it in a correct way showing the material used and there is no need to write meaningless sentences</p> <p>Part: Research Methods Identification of insect ecological sensitivity (GIS-based insect ecological sensitivity analysis) The methodology used is not at all clear The text doesn't make sense Only inconsistent sentences</p> <p>Parte Results and discussion There are parts, which should normally exist in materials and methods but you had met there in this part (results part). Is not clear at all.</p> <p>Part conclusion Very short conclusion that needs more details with alson recommendations and perspectives</p> <p>Bibliography Acceptable; rich contains numerous references</p>

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boukerker Hassen
Department, University & Country	Algeria