

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_86807
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of agrosystems on the diversity of mycorrhizae under plantain banana cultivation in the forest region of Kisangani (Tshopo Province, DR Congo)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is good, overall. It brings added value, especially national and local. Originality is identified at the level of varieties as study material.</p> <p>However, there are some issues that need to be clarified or clarified, such as:</p> <p>At Introduction: I noticed that only plantain is mentioned in the whole manuscript, or this word can be interpreted, because there are both plantain plants and plantain weeds. In order not to leave room for interpretations, I would suggest a revision of the manuscript and a clear specification, such as: banana plantain or plantain plants. It seems to me that the title is the correct address, but otherwise it is not very clear what it refers to. It should be clarified where the crop is and where the weed is concerned. It looks like an amalgam, hard to understand.</p> <p>Other terminology suggestions mentioned directly in the manuscript.</p> <p>At Material and Method:</p> <p>It is not clear what <masl means>. If it is an abbreviation, please specify why? In my opinion, I think it looks more like a <m.a.s.l.> than a <masl>. However, it must be clearly specified whether it is a unit of altitude. Readers should understand what they are talking about.</p> <p>At Results:</p> <p>I think the description in the legend of Figure 1 is repeated. I would suggest cutting the reference to size and leaving the shape and color of the spore (which are not found in the description in the text above).</p> <p>I also suggested directly in the manuscript something like: (F1: Gigaspora: spherical shape with reddish hyphae); F2: ... and so on</p> <p>... and a few other small corrections that are found directly in the manuscript</p> <p>At Conclusion:</p> <p>I have identified a paragraph that should be deleted (to avoid duplication) because there is an identical paragraph at the Introduction (at the end of the chapter). In addition, there are no conclusions in this context. These should bring conclusive results to the fore, not the purpose of the study.</p> <p>The duplicate paragraph is as follows: <This study aims to determine the taxonomic diversity of AMF under plantains according to two agrosystems, to evaluate the influence of AMF on plantain vigor, to determine the influence of physico-chemical soil parameters on the diversity of AMFs under plantains>... in the Kisangani region.</p> <p>All this can be found in the manuscript as suggestions.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ioana Grozea
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Timisoara