

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_86770
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Poultry and Cattle Manures under Different Housing and Packaging Systems on Yield and Yield Attributes of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> (Hook F.)
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "Experiment" maybe as "The experiment"; "in year" as "in the year"; "Data was" as "Data were"; "reduce number" as "reduce the number"; "on bush" as "on the bush"; "purchaser demand for" as "purchasers demand"; "sincerity" as "the sincerity"; "usage of appropriate" as "the usage of an appropriate"; "Housing" as "The housing"; "a natural" as "natural"; "and easy" as "and is easy"; "Modern" as "The modern"; "undeveloped" as "an undeveloped"; "Nigerian" as "The Nigerian"; "system was" as "systems were"; "a space" as "space"; "bagco" as "a bagco"; "soil" as "a soil"; "to constant" as "to a constant"; "at 8 week" as "8 week"; "Number" as "The number"; "jute" as "a jute"; "collected was" as "collected were"; "Statistical" as "the Statistical"; "means was" as "means were"; "at 5%" as "at a 5%"; "application" as "the application"; "number of" as "a number of"; "lower" as "a lower"; "shelf-life" as "the shelf-life"; "Longest" as "The longest"; "highest" as "the highest"; "had yield" as "had a yield"; "demanding for" as "demanding"; "keep soil" as "keep the soil"; "neutral" as "a neutral"; "higher" as "a higher"; "slower" as "a slower"; "later" as "a later"; "tremendous" as "a tremendous". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proofread the text. For example, the words "finding therefore recommend" maybe as "finding , therefore, recommends"; "Key words" as "Keywords"; "application such" as "applications such"; "substitute" as "substitutes"; "augment" as "augment"; "frond" as "fronds"; "leveled" as "levelled"; "sub sampled" as "subsampled"; "weeks interval" as "weeks intervals"; "oven dried" as "oven-dried"; "poddimg" as "podding"; "taken the" as "taking the"; "nutrient to" as "nutrients to"; "were hasten" as "were hastened"; "amendments" as "amendment"; "REFERNCES" as "REFERENCES or REFERENCE". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD), palm fronds house (PBS), etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (e.g., <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>T. occidentalis</i>, etc.).</p> <p>2. The figure legends should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the figure without having to refer back o the main text of the manuscript. For example, the necessary expansion may be given for abbreviations used.</p> <p>3. The references are not arranged properly in a uniform format and it should be carefully checked and corrected as per the journal instructions.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The authors have put effort to evaluate the "Application of Poultry and Cattle Manures under Different Housing and Packaging Systems on Yield and Yield Attributes of <i>Telfairia occidentalis</i> (Hook F.)". The authors describe the work undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Sampathkumar
Department, University & Country	SASTRA Deemed University, India