

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_74148
Title of the Manuscript:	Species Diversity Of Arthropods Founds in Refuse Dumpsites in Ifite Awka, Anambra State And its Public Health Risk.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The writing, organization and overall quality of the manuscript is generally poor.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Major Comment: The authors did not include line numbers, hence this made it very difficult to point out the errors point by point. The writing, organization and overall quality of the manuscript is generally poor. The author(s) were careless with spacing, punctuation mark usage and general arrangement of the text. Manuscript formatting was also very poor; for instance, more than four different theme fonts were used, including Arial 12 in most part, Times New Roman 14 in Table 1, Calibri 20 and 11 in Table 2 and so on... The data presented in the manuscript is not enough. Aside the Shannon Weiner diversity index that was used to analyze the diversity of arthropods in the sampled refuse dumpsites, as presented in Table 3, no other statistical analysis was done. Additional figures or tables should be added before the manuscript could be considered for publication. All scientific names should be italicized in the entire manuscript, see Table 2. In addition, the genus name of the species mentioned in Table 1 should be defined, as they were appearing for the first time in the body of the text. The genus names could be shortened in subsequent appearance. See for instance, <i>Periplaneta Americana</i> and <i>Musca domestica</i> in L5 and L10 of discussion, respectively. In the abstract alone, <i>Musca domestica</i> appeared 6 times, while <i>Periplaneta Americana</i> was repeated 5 times. Authors should follow these guidelines and correct as appropriate. In overall, the logic, grammatical expression and writing style was generally poor and needs to be improved before the manuscript could be considered for publication.</p> <p>Specific Comments</p> <p>Title Delete the space before 'Species'</p> <p>Abstract Add the name of the country after the state in L1. After L11 of Abstract, <i>Periplaneta Americana</i> should be revised as <i>P. Americana</i> for subsequent mentions. Same as <i>Musca domestica</i> After L10 of Abstract. Do the same for all scientific names in the entire manuscript. Spacing was missing here and there all over the entire manuscript. See for instance; <i>Periplaneta americana</i> which occurred in all study sites except site A. Site A, <i>Musca domestica</i> 12(38.71%), followed by <i>Aedes aegypti</i> 6(19.35%), site B, <i>Musca domestica</i> 15(25.42%), followed by <i>Aedes aegypti</i> 12(20.34%) and <i>Periplaneta Americana</i></p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>10(16.95%), site C, <i>Musca domestica</i> 17(28.89%), followed by <i>Aedes aegypti</i> 7(15.56%) and <i>Periplaneta Americana</i> 5(11.11%), site D, <i>Musca domestica</i> 17(27.42%), followed by <i>Aedes aegypti</i> 11(17.74%) and <i>Periplaneta americana</i> 7(11.29%), site E, <i>Musca domestica</i> 30(32.26%), followed by <i>Aedes</i></p> <p>Authors should take extra care to format the entire manuscript as appropriate.</p> <p>Keywords Delete the space before 'species diversity' Revise 'heath risk' as 'health risk'</p> <p>Results Revise the heading 'Result' as 'Results' Delete the extra spaces before 'In site A' Write the genus name for all species in full in Table 1 Add space between the scientific names and common names for all species mentioned in Table 1 The '(' before 'black ant' should not be italicized. Format Table 1, 2 and 3 using the same theme font and font size, as the rest of the manuscript (Arial 12)</p> <p>Discussion Revise '<i>Periplaneta Americana</i>' as '<i>Periplaneta americana</i>' in L3 Revise '<i>Periplaneta americana</i>' as '<i>P. americana</i>' in L5 Revise '<i>Musca domestica</i> (Housefly)' as 'Housefly (<i>M. domestica</i>)' in L7-8 Revise '<i>Musca domestica</i>' as '<i>M. domestica</i>' in L10. Revise '<i>Aedes</i> spp.,(mosquitoes)wasanother' by adding spaces where applicable viz. '<i>Aedes</i> spp., (mosquitoes) was another' Revise '<i>Periplaneta americana</i> (American cockroach)' as 'American cockroach (<i>P. americana</i>)'.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>See attached file (Comments to Authors)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Bamisope Steve Bamisile</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>South China Agricultural University, China</p>