

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_76319
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF PLANT TRANSFORMATION TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The results were very interesting and corroborated with the literature. However, the author (s) left something to be desired in the discussion of the results. They could have explored the discussion better, emphasizing the importance of this study, for example.	
Minor REVISION comments	-The work is interesting and compatible at the level of the journal. -All information on the work was understandable and consistent with each other.	
Optional/General comments	-Work more discussions.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renisson Neponuceno De Araújo Filho
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Do Tocantins, Brazil